

Anand

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.679 OF 2015
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.508 OF 2015**

Pramod Kedarnath Singhanian .Applicant

v/s.

The State of Maharashtra .Respondent

WITH

**BAIL APPLICATION NO.813 OF 2015
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.887 OF 2015
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.813 OF 2015**

Abdul Hasib Khan .Applicant

v/s.

The State of Maharashtra .Respondent

WITH

BAIL APPLICATION NO.934 OF 2015

Sarfaraz Rizvi .Applicant

v/s.

The State of Maharashtra .Respondent

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.379 OF 2015
(For Intervention)
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.508 OF 2015

Irfan Nisar Ahmed Shaikh .Intervenor

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Pramod Kedarnath Singhanian .Applicant

v/s.

The State of Maharashtra .Respondent

Mr.S.R.Karnik, Advocate, for the Applicant in
B.A.No.508 of 2015 & Cri.Appln.No.679 of 2015

Mrs.S.S.Kaushik, APP, for the Respondent – State
in all matters

Mr.A.Vagal, Advocate, for the Applicant in
B.A.No.934 of 2015

Mr.A.K.Millwala, Advocate, for the Applicant in
B.A.No.813 of 2015 & Cri.Appln.No.887 of 2015

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

DATE : 30.10.2015

P.C.

. Heard learned counsel for the applicant
and the learned APP for the respondent – State.

2. The aforesaid matter was kept today for
recording compliance of the order dated
05.10.2015. As far as the applicant in

B.A.No.508 of 2015 is concerned, the applicant has complied with the undertaking, inasmuch as, he has deposited the 1st instalment of Rs.14,00,000/- within the time frame given in the undertaking. As far as the applicant in B.A.No.934 of 2015 is concerned, he states that he is still in custody in connection with another C.R. He states that his period of four weeks for making payment pursuant to the undertaking, has not come to an end till date. As far as the applicant in B.A.No.813 of 2015 is concerned, the applicant has not complied with the undertaking given to this Court. The applicant has filed an Application, being Cri.Appln.No.887 of 2015 seeking extension of time to deposit the 1st instalment. As the said application was not on board, a praecipe was filed. Accordingly, the said application was taken on board along with the aforesaid applications. By this application, being

Cri.Appln.No.679 of 2015 in B.A.No.508 of 2015, the applicant has sought extension of time to deposit the 1st instalment of Rs.7,50,000/-. The learned counsel for the said applicant states that the applicant was unable to sell his property and hence seeks for time to arrange the said amount.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant on the instructions of the applicant, who is present in Court states that the applicant will deposit the 1st instalment of Rs.7,50,000/- within a fortnight from today. The said statement is accepted. It is made clear, that no further extension will be granted for depositing the said amount of Rs.7,50,000/-.

4. Stand over to **17.11.2015**. To be placed **High On Board** under the caption 'For Directions', for reporting compliance with

respect to the undertakings given by each of the applicants and for reporting compliance of the statement made by the learned counsel for the applicant in Cri.Appln.No.887 of 2015.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)