

ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2534 OF 2014

Rakesh Chandanmal Jain .. Petitioner

Vs.

Manisha Rakesh Jain .. Respondent

Mr.Anushak Davar a/w Ms.Nisha Parmar i/b Mr.Vijay Upadhyay,
Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr.Anand J.Shinde a/w Ms.Sneha S.Kadam, Advocate for Respondent.

CORAM : R.G.KETKAR, J.

DATE : 12th February, 2015

P.C. :

. Heard Mr.Anushak Davar, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr.Anand J.Shinde, learned Counsel for the respondent.

2. By this petition under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-husband has challenged the order dated 23/01/2014 passed by the learned Judge, 4th Family Court, Mumbai below Exhibit 10 in Petition No. A-1116 of 2012. By that order, the Family Court directed the petitioner-husband to pay Rs.15,000/- each per month to the respondent and two daughters for their maintenance as an ad-hoc arrangement. Mr. Davar submits that the interim application was finally decided on 11/07/2014. He,

therefore, does not press this application challenging the interim order.

3. On the motion made by Mr.Davar, petition is disposed of as not pressed.

(R.G.KETKAR, J.)