

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.788 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.9705 OF 2013**

Attar Naziya Zakir

...Applicant.

V/s.

1. State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

Mrs. Helan Koli-Mandlik i/b Mr. Ramchandra K. Mendadkar for the Applicant.

Mr. V. P. Malvankar, AGP, 'A' Panel for Respondent Nos.1,2 & 4.

Mr. Mohammed Shine i/b MZM Legal for Respondent No.5.

**CORAM : A.S.OKA &
VL. ACHLIYA,JJ.**

DATE : 8th SEPTEMBER, 2015

P.C.:

. The original petitioner sought certain directions from 3rd and 4th respondents by making a representation dated 24/11/2014. The grievance is that consequential order is not being passed by respondent No.3.

2. The learned counsel for the 5th respondent seeks time.

3. Considering the limited relief sought, the application need not be kept pending and is disposed of accordingly. If representation dated 24/11/2014 made by the applicant is still pending before the 3rd

respondent-Municipal Corporation, it shall decide the same in accordance with law within a period of one month from today.

4. Needless to state that before taking any decision, the Municipal Corporation shall give an opportunity of being heard to 5th respondent.

5. We make it clear that we have made no adjudication upon the merits of the representation of the applicant.

6. All contentions of the parties on merits are kept open.

(V. L. ACHLIYA, J.)

(A. S. OKA, J.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

“ Certified to be true and correct copy of the original signed Judgment/Order.”