

nsc.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.89 OF 2015

Vinod Kumar s/o Hiralal Sanghvi	...	Applicant
V/s.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

Mr.Santosh Saroj i/b Mr.A.M.Saraogi, for the Applicant.
Ms.Rutuja Ambekar, APP for the Respondent – State.
ASI – Shivaji Jadhav – Kandivali Police Station, Mumbai, F.No.19950.

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.
DATED : 4th MARCH, 2015.

P.C.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant.
2. Learned Counsel for the applicant seeks a direction that the applicant be permitted to furnish cash security in connection with C.R.No.109 of 2014, registered with the Kandivali Police Station, instead of furnishing surety as directed by the Sessions Court vide order dated 13th November, 2014, while enlarging the applicant on bail.
3. Learned APP states that there are more than 80 cases registered as against the applicant.

4. Considering the peculiar facts of the case, the application for finishing cash surety is rejected. Application is accordingly disposed of.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)