

dgm

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1142 OF 2015

Mr. Ashok Java and anr.		Petitioners
vs		
The State of Maharashtra and ors.		Respondents

Mr. Vijay D. Patil i/by Mr. Nikhil Chavan for the Petitioners
Ms. Vaishali S. Nimbalkar, AGP for the Respondents 1 to 4.
Mr. Kalpesh Patil for Respondent No. 6.

CORAM: ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.

DATE : February 04, 2015

P.C.:

The learned counsel for the Petitioners makes statement
that all the Respondents are served.

2 Considering the averments made, I am inclined to dispose
of the present writ petition at this stage itself as the Petitioner is
unable to get the protective order though revision as well as an
application for stay is pending with Respondent No.2 as averred in
para 6. Therefore, in the interest of justice and to avoid further
complication, I am inclined to observe that no steps be taken based
upon the impugned notice/order dated 14.2.2015 passed by

Respondent No.4 till the decision on interim application so filed along with the revision. It is desirable that the revision be heard as expeditiously as possible along with the application for interim relief so claimed.

3 Therefore, this Court in the above background need to protect the interest of the Petitioners as they are unable to get the interim protective for want of hearing by the concerned Respondents. Order accordingly.

4 Any order if passed against the Petitioners, on stay application filed, the same will not be given effect to for two weeks from the receipt of copy of the order.

5 The writ petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. No costs.

Parties to act on the basis of an authenticated copy of this order.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)