

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRI. WRIT PETITION NO. 369 OF 2015

Sarvesh Chandra Trivedi & Anr. ... Petitioners.

V/s.

Ananya Ranjit Chakrabati & Anr. ... Respondents.

Mr. Samarth Moray, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Hakim Salim, Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Mr. D. P. Adsule, APP for the State.

CORAM : A.V. NIRGUDE, J.

DATE : 04th DECEMBER, 2015.

P.C. :

1 Heard.

2 Perused the complaint. The allegations made against original Respondent Nos.2 and 3, who are petitioners here, are too vague to initiate the proceedings against them. Respondent no.1-herein, the original complainant, did not mention in her complaint when she stayed in a flat with her parents-in-law in Delhi or any other place. The complaint is not properly drafted. Therefore, it is hereby quashed as against the present petitioners, who are original respondent nos. 2 and 3. The complainant is, however, given liberty to file a fresh complaint or amend the present complaint.

3 The case against original respondent no.1, the husband of the complainant, would continue.

4 This Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(A.V. NIRGUDE, J.)

.....