

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 119 OF 2015

Rajagopalan Sridhar & anr. ... Applicants.

Versus
The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Mr. Sachin Deokar, advocate for Applicants.

Mr. A.H. Ponda, advocate for intervenor.

Mr. A.S. Shitole, APP for State.

CORAM : SMT.SADHANA S. JADHAV,J
DATE : FEBRUARY 10, 2015

P.C.:

1 Learned Counsel for the applicant upon instructions submits that the applicant no. 1 has been arrested. Hence, prayer for grant of pre-arrest has become infructuous. Hence, the application in case of applicant No. 1 is concerned, is disposed of as infructuous.

2 As far as applicant No. 2 is concerned, the learned Counsel seeks liberty to withdraw the application. Liberty as prayed for is

granted in the interest of justice. The application stands dismissed as withdrawn in case of applicant No. 2. The application is disposed of accordingly.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV,J)