

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE – CRIMINAL
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.108 of 2015
with
APPLICATION NO.119 OF 2015
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.108 of 2015

Vivek Satyaprakash Mathur ... Applicant

Vs

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr. Shirish Gupte, Sr. Counsel i/b
Mr. Prashant Aher for the Applicant
Mrs. A.A. Mane, APP, for the Respondent -State.
Ms Namrata S. Bobade h/f Mr. M.J. Bhatt,
for the intervener, present.
Mr. Shinde, API, Gamdevi Police Station, present.

CORAM: P.D. KODE, J.

DATED: FEBRUARY 10, 2015.

PC:

Mr. Shirish Gupte, learned counsel for the Applicant states that matter has been amicably settled and MoU has been entered into in between the parties. It is submitted that not only MoU is executed but an amount of Rs.10,00,000/- has already been paid to the original complainant. Ms Namrata Bobade h/f Mr. M.J. Bhatt, counsel for the Intervener, admits the correctness of the statement made.

2. Mr. Gupte further submits that parties would be taking appropriate steps for getting the matter compounded /quashed. In view of the statement made by the counsel for the Applicant hardly there appears any necessity of not confirming the ad-interim order as it may take some time for parties to take appropriate steps in the matter.

3. Resultantly, the application is allowed. Inform the I. O. of C.R. No.11 of 2015, registered with Gamdevi Police Station, District Mumbai that in event of arrest of the applicant in connection with the crime in question he be forthwith released on bail on his furnishing PR. Bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with one solvent surety to make up like amount, subject to conditions that the applicant shall (i) stay at the address mentioned in the application and shall not change the same without permission of the Court; (ii) attend the I.O. as and when summoned by him; (iii) not to take any step of throttling the progress of the investigation as the investigation is continued; (iv) not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to persons acquainted with the facts of the accusation against him so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and (iv) not misuse the protection

granted vide this order for fleeing away or for committing any further offence.

4. The application accordingly stands disposed of.
5. In view of the disposal of main application, intervention application stands disposed of.

(P.D. KODE, J.)