

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 137 OF 2014**

Mrs. Sangeeta Ajay Bajla .. Petitioner

v/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents

Ms. Kavita A. Gandhi for the petitioner

Mr. A.M. Sarogi for respondent no.2

Mrs. M.H. Mhatre APP for the respondent State

**CORAM: RANJIT MORE &  
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.  
DATED: 21<sup>st</sup> APRIL, 2015.**

**P.C.**

1. Heard. This petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India under the provisions of Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.156 of 2013 registered with Navghar Police Station, Mumbai. The said F.I.R. is registered against the petitioner at the instance of respondent no.2 for the offence punishable under Sections 380, 454, 457 of the IPC.

2. During the pendency of the said criminal case, parties have arrived at amicable settlement and in pursuance of the said

understanding, they have agreed to quash the said C.R., by consent. Respondent no.2 accordingly has filed an affidavit dated 10.01.2014. In paragraph 3, she has given no objection for for allowing the present writ petition. Respondent no.2 is personally present in the Court. On specific query made by us, she submitted that he has no objection for quashing the FIR and consequential criminal proceedings.

2. We are of the view that the dispute is of personal nature and the ratio in the case of *Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab (2014) AIR SCW 2065* would squarely apply to the facts of the present case and as such there is no impediment in quashing the criminal complaint.

3. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (A).

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

( RANJIT MORE, J.)