

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE – CRIMINAL
APPLICATION NO.14 OF 2015
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.2669 OF 2014

Chandrakant Dadu Patil		Applicant/ Intervener
<i>In the matter between</i>		
Kaustubh Sanjeev Sawant		Applicants/ Accused
& Others		
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra		Respondent

Mr. B.G. Tangsali for the Intervener.
Mr. Ravindra Sawant for Applicant No.1.
Ms A.A. Mane, APP, for the Respondent-
State.

CORAM: P.D. KODE, J.

DATED: JANUARY 13, 2015

P.C:

1. Having regard to the decision of the Apex Court in the case of **Sudeep Kumar Bafna v. State of Maharashtra and Another**, reported in AIR 2014 SC 1745, and particularly the observations made in para 56, it is difficult

to entertain the prayer for intervention taken in bail application, though nomenclature is different both germane from the same species. Having regard to it as per the above referred decision, the intervener is not entitled to intervene in the bail proceedings. He will not have any right to interfere in the proceedings for bail. However, the intervener is at liberty to exercise the rights within four corners of Section 301 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

2. The application accordingly stands disposed of.

(P.D. KODE, J.)