

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE – CRIMINAL
APPLICATION NO.10 OF 2015
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.2299 OF 2014

Chandrakant Dadu Patil		Applicant/ Intervener
<i>In the matter between</i>		
Silvester Sanjay Koli		Applicant/ Accused
Vs.		
The State of Maharashtra		Respondent

Mr. B.G. Tangsali for the Intervener.
Mr. A.H.H. Ponda i/b Mr. Datta Mane for
the Applicant/Accused.
Ms A.T. Javeri, APP, for the Respondent-
State.

CORAM: P.D. KODE, J.

DATED: JANUARY 16, 2015

P.C:

1. Having regard to the decision of the
Apex Court in the case of **Sudeep Kumar Bafna v.
State of Maharashtra and Another**, reported in
AIR 2014 SC 1745, and particularly the

observations made in para 56, it is difficult to entertain the prayer for intervention taken in bail application. Having regard to it as per the above referred decision, the intervener is not entitled to intervene in the bail proceedings. He will not have any right to interfere in the proceedings for bail. However, the intervener is at liberty to exercise the rights within four corners of Section 301 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

2. The application accordingly stands disposed of.

(P.D. KODE, J.)