

ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MUMBAI
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 233 OF 2014
IN
REVIEW PETITION STAMP NO. 31851 OF 2013

Shri Santosh Maruti Gurav and another .. Applicants
Vs.
Vijay Neminath Sangave and others .. Respondents

Mr. Surel S.Shah, Advocate for the Applicants.
Mr.G.M.Savagave, Advocate for the Respondent No.1.
Mr.Chetan G.Patil, Advocate for Respondents No. 2 & 3.

CORAM : R. G. KETKAR, J.
DATE : 20th MARCH, 2015

P.C. :

. Heard Mr.Surel S.Shah, learned Counsel for the applicants,
Mr.G.M.Savagave, learned Counsel for respondent No.1 and
Mr.Chetan G.Patil, learned Counsel for respondents No. 2 & 3.

2. This is an application for condonation of delay of 1 year
and 1 month in filing the Review Petition. Mr.Patil submits that
respondents No.2 & 3 do not admit correctness of the assertions
made in paragraphs 3 & 7 of the application.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, I am satisfied
that applicants have made out a sufficient cause for condoning the
delay. Hence, Civil Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause
(A) with nor order as to costs.

4. List the Review Petition for admission on 10/04/2015.

(R. G. KETKAR, J.)