

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2450 OF 2014

Satish Navnath Gaikwad	...	Applicant
vs.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondents

Mr. Kalpesh Patil, Advocate (appointed) for the applicant
Mrs.R.V.Newton, APP, for the respondent-State.

CORAM: SMT.SADHANA S.JADHAV,J.
DATE : 17th February, 2015.

P.C.

Heard the learned counsel (appointed) for the applicant.

2. The learned APP submits that in the present case i.e. Sessions Case No.199 of 2012, the trial has commenced of two witnesses. In view of this, this Court is not inclined to consider an application under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. The learned counsel (appointed) prays for expeditious trial. However, the said prayer cannot be granted since the trial has already commenced and this Court is hopeful that the Sessions Judge seized with the Sessions Case No.199 of 2012 would not adjourn the proceedings on unwarranted counts.
3. The learned counsel for the applicant has put in best efforts to

espouse the cause of the applicant. His efforts are appreciated. His professional fees are quantified at Rs.1,000/- to be paid within three months from today.

Application stands disposed of.

4. The office shall communicate the order to the applicant in the Central Jail at Solapur.

(SMT.SADHANA S.JADHAV, J.)