

Shephali

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2780 OF 2015
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 19959 OF 2015**

Ashish Maruti Rajpure & Anr.	...Applicants
<i>Versus</i>	
Vinayak Jagannath Dagade & Ors.	...Respondents

Mr. Dhananjayrao Dnyaneshwar Rananaware, for the Applicants.
Mr. Vishwanath Suresh Talkute, for Respondents Nos. 1 to 3.
Mr. Venkatesh Shastri, for Respondent Nos. 4 to 7.

**CORAM: A. S. OKA & G.S. PATEL, JJ.
DATED: 23rd November 2015**

PC:-

1. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the Applicants and the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 3. The Respondent Nos. 4 to 7 are also represented. Office notings show that the Respondent No. 9 is duly served with the notice. Though the Respondent No. 8 is reported to be dead, the Respondent No. 9 appears to be his legal representative.

2. In view of the averments made in the application, sufficient cause is made out. Rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

3. The Civil Application is disposed of.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)

(A. S. OKA, J.)