

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.102 OF 2014

Minaz Nurrudin Palekar .. Applicant
Versus
Dr.Shahbaz Murrudin Palekar & ors .. Respondents

None for the applicant.

Mr.Sumit S. Kothari, Advocate for respondent nos.1 and 2.

Mrs.M.R.Tidke, APP for the Respondent State.

CORAM : ABHAY M. THIPSAY, J.

DATED : 17th APRIL, 2015

P.C. :

1 None appears for the applicant in spite of repeated calls.

2 Under the circumstances, the application is being decided after going through the same, the annexures thereto, and after hearing the learned counsel for the respondent nos.1 and 2.

3 Apparently, the Magistrate was of the view that the imputations in question were protected by the 9th Exception as provided in section 499 of the IPC.

4 The applicant has not chosen to remain present before the Court and make submissions in support of the application. On

a reading of the impugned judgment, the view of the matter as taken by the Magistrate, appears to be a possible view.

5 Leave refused.

6 Application is rejected.

(ABHAY M.THIPSAY, J)