

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.738 OF 2014

Mohan Maruti Jadhav Applicant
Vs.
Kisan Maruti Jadhav & Ors. Respondents

None for the Applicant.

Mr. Prabhanjan Gujar, Advocate for Respondents No.1 and 2.

Coram: Smt.R.PSondurBaldota, J.
Date : 4th February, 2015.

P.C. :

1 The applicant and advocate are absent, though called out twice. The application is, therefore, dismissed for default.

(Smt.R.PSondurBaldota, J.)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.738 OF 2014

Mohan Maruti Jadhav		Applicant
Vs.		
Kisan Maruti Jadhav & Ors.		Respondents

Mr. V.V. Purwant, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. Prabhanjan Gujar, Advocate for Respondents No.1 and 2.

Coram: Smt.R.P.SondurBaldota, J.
Date : 4th February, 2015.

P.C. :

1 On the oral request of Mr. Purwant, the learned
advocate for the applicant, the Civil Application is restored to file.
Heard the advocates.

2 The only ground set out in the application for expeditious hearing is that the pendency of the appeal is an obstruction for hearing of Regular Civil Suit No.183 of 2003. Mr. Purwant states that the learned Judge has expressed an opinion that as long as the appeal is pending, he cannot hear subsequent suit.

3 Mr. Purwant has not shown how the two matters are
connected to each other. In any case, considering the old

pendency of the matters, hearing of the Second Appeal cannot be expedited. Hence, the Civil Application is dismissed.

(Smt.R.P.SondurBaldota, J.)