

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 171 OF 2015**

Pintu @ Maruti Dattatray Harihar .. Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

....
Mr. Anand S. Patil Advocate for Petitioner
Smt. V.R. Bhonsale A.P.P. for the State
....

**CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI ACTING C.J. &
DR.SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.**

DATED : NOVEMBER 30, 2015

P.C.:

- 1 Rule. By consent, rule is made returnable forthwith.
- 2 The petitioner had preferred an application for parole on the ground of illness of his mother. The said application for parole came to be rejected by order dated 30.9.2014. The appeal preferred by the petitioner also came to be rejected, hence, this petition.
- 3 The petitioner is now praying that he be granted parole. It is seen that the petitioner preferred an application for parole in the month of March, 2014 on the ground of illness

of his mother. Due to passage of time, this petition has become infructuous. However, if the mother of the applicant is still ill or any other ground exists for which the petitioner can seek parole, the petitioner is at liberty to make a fresh application for parole. If such an application is made by the petitioner, the concerned authorities to dispose it of as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the same.

4 Writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. Rule is made absolute accordingly.

[DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.] [ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE]