

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 922 OF 2014

Mahavir Dattatray Vasagadekar and ors. Petitioners

vs

The State of Maharashtra, through
Secretary, Education Dept and ors. Respondents

Mr. Satish Sudhakar Raut for the petitioners.

Ms. S.S. Bhende, AGP for the respondents.

**CORAM: ANOOP V. MOHTA AND
K. R. SHRIRAM, JJ.**

DATE : March 26, 2015

P.C.:

Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. Heard finally
by consent of parties.

2 The learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners has
submitted that the Petitioners case is covered and governed by
order passed by this Court on 26.08.2013 in Writ Petition No.
6089/2013 – Ranjana Namdeo Kale and ors vs. The State of
Maharashtra and ors.

3 The Petitioners have also made specific averments of
similar nature which entitles the Petitioners for same relief as
granted in those matters.

4 The learned AGP appearing for the Respondents
concedes to the position that the Petitioners case is governed by the
judgment/order so passed in every aspect. Therefore, we are
inclined to dispose of the present Petition, for same reason, in
terms of prayer (c). Order accordingly. However, considering the
submission that some of the Petitioners are retiring, it is desirable
that the Respondents to consider the same as early as possible and
preferably within four months.

5 The writ petition is allowed in the above terms. Rule is
made absolute accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

(K. R. SHRIRAM, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)