

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO. 22 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 442 OF 2015

SHRI MARIO LOBO AND ANR.,

... Applicants

Versus

THE GOA CO-OPERATIVE MARKETING AND
SUPPLY FEDERATION, THROUGH ITS
CHAIRMAN.

... Respondent

Mr. V. P. Thali, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. Raviraj Chodankar and Ms. T. Vernekar, Advocates for the
Respondents.

Coram:- K. L. WADANE, J.

Date:- 23rd December, 2015

P.C.

The present application is filed by the Applicant to review the earlier Order of this Court dated 11.05.2015 and thereby contended that the arguments advanced by the Applicants were not referred to in the Order and, therefore, the Petitioner wants to mention certain facts and arguments advanced on his behalf.

2. It is true that whilst considering the interim relief, this Court has not mentioned the facts elaborately and each and every details of the arguments. Now the Applicants want to incorporate certain facts and the detailed arguments advanced by Mr. Thali, learned Counsel appearing for the Applicants.

3. The Respondents have no serious objections to consider the relief claimed by the Applicants.
4. No prejudice will be caused if the prayer of the Petitioner is considered.
5. Hence, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 6 and the Order be modified as prayed for as per para no. 6(i) to (vi). Necessary corrections be made forthwith.
6. Petition stands disposed of.

K. L. WADANE, J.

arp/*