

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 610 OF 2015

M/S. TITANIC RESORT PVT. LTD., ... Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF GOA, THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 3 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. S. N. Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. A. N. S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Mr. P. Dangui, Addl.
Government Advocate for respondent nos. 1 to 3.

Coram:- MOHIT S. SHAH, C.J. &
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.

Date:- 20th August, 2015

P.C.

The grievance in this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is against the delay on the part of the respondent no.4 Assistant Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Panaji in not replying to the letter dated 12 March 2015 of respondent no.2 State Registrar, Government of Goa, Panaji Goa on the question as to whether any violation/confiscation cases are pending in respect of the petitioner or its directors or in respect of the properties mentioned in the draft sale deed submitted by the petitioner to respondent no.3 - Sub Registrar cum Civil Registrar of Pernem Goa in September, 2014.

2. We are of the opinion that it would be in the interest of justice to dispose of the petition by directing, and it is accordingly

directed that, respondent no.4 - Assistant Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Panaji shall send his reply to respondent no.2 State Registrar as expeditiously as possible and preferably within one month from the date of receipt of this order. After receiving the reply from respondent no.4, respondent nos.2 and 3 shall take necessary action in accordance with law expeditiously.

3. Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

4. In view of the above directions, the petition stands accordingly disposed of.

SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.

MOHIT S. SHAH, C.J.

at*