

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 542 OF 2015

IN

FIRST APPEAL NO. 72 OF 2014

SHRI. NARAYAN GUNO NAIK (SIN.
DEC.) THROUGH HIS LR'S.,

... Applicant

Versus

SHRI. MANGESH BHIKU NAIK GAONKAR
AND 8 ORS.,

... Respondents

Adv. Prashil Arolkar, for the applicants.

Mr. Johnson Simoes, Advocate for respondent Nos. 3(a), 3(c), 3(d)
and 3(e).

Coram:- K. L. WADANE, J.

Date:- 12th October, 2015

P.C.

Heard the learned counsel for the respective parties.

The petition is in time. The learned Advocate appearing for the respondent nos.3(a), 3(c), 3(d) and 3(e) has no objection to allow the petition. Rests of the respondents are absent.

Hence, the petition is allowed.

Petitioner is permitted to bring the legal representative of the respondent no.8 on record.

Necessary amendment be carried out within a one week.

K. L. WADANE, J.

mukund