

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 454 OF 2015

MS. SHILPA BABU LAMANI @ SHILPA
RAJU CHAVAN, THROUGH SMT. GANGABAI
GANGADHAR LAMANI.

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THROUGH THE CHIEF
SECRETARY, GOVT. OF GOA AND 3
ORS.,

... Respondents

None for the petitioner.

Mr. S. Dhargalkar, Additional Govt. Advocate for the respondents
No.1 to 3.

Mr. Sergio Pinto de Santana, Advocate for the Intervenor.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
K. L. WADANE, JJ.

Date:- 15th September, 2015

P.C.:

An affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondents No.1
to 3.

2. Heard Mr. S. Dhargalkar, learned Additional Govt. Advocate
appearing for the respondents No.1 to 3 and Mr. S. Pinto de Santana,
learned Counsel appearing for the Intervenor. None appears on
behalf of the petitioner.

3. It is pointed out by the learned Additional Govt. Advocate
that the minor child has been kept at Apna Ghar, Mercedes. An
affidavit also has been filed to that effect. Learned Advocate

appearing for the Intervenor who is the mother of the petitioner has stated that the Intervenor has no objection in case the minor child continues to stay at Apna Ghar, Mercedes.

4. Considering the averments made in the affidavit, and in view of the no objection of the Intervenor/mother to allow the minor child to stay at Apna Ghar, Mercedes, we find that there is no case made out for any relief in the above petition.

5. The petition stands, accordingly, disposed of.

K. L. WADANE, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

ssm.