

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

COMPANY APPEAL NO. 11 OF 2013

M/S. SOJITZ CORPORATION THROUGH
MR. YUKIHISA MATSUMOTO

... Appellant

Versus

M/S. TERACOM LTD., REP. BY
CHAIRMAN AND M.D. MR. MUKESH ARORA

... Respondent

Mr. Dajvip Vilas Patkar, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. A. D. Bhobe, Advocate for the respondent.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
K. L. WADANE, JJ.

Date:- 12th October, 2015

P.C.:

Heard Mr. Dajvip Vilas Patkar, learned Counsel appearing for the appellant and Mr. A. D. Bhobe, learned Counsel for the respondent.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the parties point out that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and consequently, the appellants have filed an application in terms of Rule 9 of the Companies (Court) Rules, 1959, duly signed by the Authorised Representative of the original appellant, supported by a Notarial Certificate and Apostille, issued by the Authorised Officer. The same is taken on record and marked "X" for identification.

3. Hence, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses

(a) and (b). The appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

K. L. WADANE, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

ssm.