

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 212 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 321 OF 2011

SMT. XEXICOLA ALIAS SHASHIKALA U.
R. DESSAI.

... Applicant

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 3 ORS.,

... Respondents

Mr. A. Haldankar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. N. Kholkar, Additional Government Advocate for Respondents
No.1 to 3.

Ms. M. Furtado, Advocate for Respondent No.4.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
M. S. SANKLECHA, JJ.

Date:- 20th April, 2015

P.C.:

Heard Mr. A. Haldankar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Ms. N. Kholkar, learned Additional Government Advocate for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Ms. M. Furtado, learned Counsel for respondent no.4.

2. The application filed by the petitioner is on account of some subsequent events which according to the petitioner will require the impleadment of the party mentioned at para 5 of the application.

3. In view of the averments in the application, we find no reason to

refuse the relief sought for by the applicant. Needless to say, all the contentions of the parties with that regard are left open. Subject to that application is allowed. The party referred to at para 5 is ordered to be impleaded as respondent no.5.

4. Application stands disposed of. Amendment to be carried out within one week. After amendment is carried out, issue notice to the newly added respondent.

M. S. SANKLECHA, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

NH