Santosh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

***TAX APPEALS NO.51, 60/2008, 3, 10, 11, 26/2011, 56/2015, 49, 52, 55, 56, 60/2018,
58, 67, 74, 75/2006, 24, 27/2008, 8, 9/2009, 8, 24/2010, 2/2011, 17/2013, 5/2014, 17/2013, 24/2014, 19/2018, 1, 101/2017, 12/2016, 50/2018, 51/2018, 53/2018, 54/2018, MCA 652, 653, 654/2018.***

The Commissioner of Income Tax,
Panaji. ……. Appellant.

Versus

Zuari Industries Ltd. .... Respondent.

Ms. Amira Abdul Razaq, Standing Counsel for the Appellant along with Tax Appeal Nos.60/2008, 56/2015, 101/2017, 1/2017, 12/2016,

Mr. Prashil Arolkar, Advocate for Respondent in Tax Appeals No.51/2008 and 3/2011.

Ms. Vinita Palyekar, Advocate for the Respondent in Tax Appeals No.56/2015, 58/2006, 24, 27/2008, 8 and 9 of 2009, 5, 24/2014, 101/2017, 1/2017, 12/2016.

Ms. Susan Linhares, Standing Counsel for the Appellant in Tax Appeals No.49, 52, 55, 56, 60 of 2018, 74 & 74 of 2006, 50/2018, 51/2018, 53/2018, 54/2018.

Mr. Gaurang D. Panandiker with Ms. Eesha G. Dukle, Advocate for the Respondents in Tax Appeals No.49, 52, 55, 56, 60 of 2018, 50/2018, 51/2018, 53/2018, 54/2018.

Ms. Amira Razaq, Standing Counsel for the Appellant in Tax Appeal No. 58/2006.

Mr. Parikshit Sawant, Advocate for Respondent in Tax Appeals

No.67/2006. 8/2010.

Mr. R. G. Ramani, Advocate for the Appellant in Tax Appeals No.17/2013.

Mr. Shashikant Joshi, Advocate for the Applicants in MCAs No.652, 653 & 654 of 2018.

Coram : Shantanu S. Kemkar &
N.M. Jamdar, JJ.

Date : 16 August 2018.

P.C.:

Parties through their Counsel. On examining the matters, prima facie we find that the tax effect in all these Appeals is below ₹ 50.00 lakhs. In these circumstances, we direct the concerned Commissioner to get the matters examined and inform the Standing Counsel of the Department before the next date of hearing as to whether these Appeals can be withdrawn in terms of the Circular No.3/2018 dated 11 July 2018.

2. Let the matters be listed on 28 August 2018.

N.M. Jamdar, J. ***Shantanu S. Kemkar, J.***