

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

Office Notes, Office
Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's
orders or directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

1 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16384 OF 2015
IN WP/10819/2015

NATIONAL EDUCATION SOCIETY THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY ABDUL SAMEER ABDUL SATTAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.Bayas Anandsing
AGP for Respondents/State: Mr.S.K. Kadam.
Advocate for Respondents 2 & 3: Mr.K.U. More.

...

&

2 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16386 OF 2015
IN WP/10344/2015

RAJURESHWAR SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL THROUGH
ITS PRESIDENT BHASKARRAO DADARAO KOL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr.Nagargoje Ankush N.
AGP for Respondents/State:Mr.S.K. Tambe.
Advocate for Respondent 4 : Mr.A.D.Aghav.

...

&

4 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16392 OF 2015
IN WP/7811/2015 WITH WP/7800/2015
AND/WITH
CA/16393/2015 IN WP/8375/2015

SHANTI PRATISTHAN THROUGH ITS SECRETARY PADMA
KALYAN CHAVHAN
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.Nagargoje Ankush N.
AGP for Respondents/State: Mr.K.S. Patil.
Advocate for Respondent 4 : Mr. K.M. Suryawanshi.

...

&

5 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16394 OF 2015
IN WP/10857/2015

LATE INDIRA GANDHI SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL
THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT SHAIKH HABIB SH
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr.Bayas Anandsing
AGP for Respondents/State: Mr.S.R. Yadav.
Advocate for Respondents 2 & 3 : Mr.K.U.More.

...

&

6 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16395 OF 2015
IN WP/8292/2015

SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL THROUGH ITS MEMBER
JAGANNATHSHIVAJI KALE
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr.Bayas Anandsing
AGP for Respondents/State: Mr.K.D. Munde.
Advocate for Respondents 2 & 3 : Mr.K.U. More.

...

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & P.R. BORA, JJ.

Dated: DECEMBER 17, 2015

...

Not on Board, taken on Board.

2 We refuse to entertain all these civil applications. If the applicants / petitioners are aggrieved by the subsequent decisions taken by the respondents, then there is a fresh cause of action.

Therefore, they should file substantive writ petitions.

3 All the civil applications stand disposed of.

(P.R. BORA, J)

(S.S. SHINDE, J)

kadam/