

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 98 OF 2015

WITH WP/99/2015 WITH WP/113/2015 WITH WP/126/2015 WITH
 WP/131/2015 WITH WP/132/2015 WITH WP/133/2015 WITH
 WP/134/2015 WITH WP/135/2015 WITH WP/136/2015 WITH
 WP/137/2015 WITH WP/138/2015 WITH WP/139/2015 WITH
 WP/140/2015 WITH WP/141/2015 WITH WP/142/2015 WITH
 WP/143/2015

BHAUSAHEB BASWANTRAO MANE AND OTHERS
 VERSUS
 THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Thote Dhananjay K
 AGP for Respondents-State: Mr. G. K. Thigale
 Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3: Smt. Preet V. Diggikar

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
 V. L. ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 30th January, 2015

PER COURT :

1. We have heard Mr. Thote, the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3. In all these matters representations are made by petitioners for posting the petitioners at a desired place. The learned counsel for petitioners states that, in the similar matters this Court has passed an order directing the respondent No. 2 to consider the representations of the petitioners.

2. Considering the fact that, representations have been made by the petitioners, we pass the following order:

- i) The respondent No. 2 shall decide the representations filed by petitioners Exhibit C collectively in all these writ petitions in accordance with law and as per its policy and in the event vacancy exists at the desired place as desired by the petitioners, then the

request can be considered irrespective of the fact that it is in the midst of the term.

(ii) The writ petitions accordingly are disposed of. No costs.

(V. L. ACHLIYA, J.)

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

JPC