

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT
BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6335 OF 2015.

Yograj Suresh Kathar,
Aged 22 years, Occ.Education,
R/o Lane No.3, Near Shakuntala
Medical Stores, Jai Bhavani
Nagar, Opp.N-4 Cidco,
Aurangabad. At present,
Indian Institute of AERO
Space, Secudarabad
(Telangana State). ... Applicant.

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra
through Police Station
Mukundwadi, Aurangabad.

2. Shivani Rajan @ Rajendra
Borde, Age 16 years,
Occ.Education, Being minor
through her mother and
Natural guardian
Smt.Sangeeta Rajan @
Rajendra Borde, Age 38
years, Occ.Household,
R/o H.No.218, Lane No.2,
Near Namdev Mandir,
Jaybhawani Nagar, N-2,
Cidco,Aurangabad. ... Respondents.

...

Mr.S.J.Salunke, advocate for the applicant.
Mr.D.R.Kale, A.P.P for the State.

Mr.S.A.Wakure, advocate for Respondent No.2.

...

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA AND
V.K.JADHAV, JJ.**

Date : 01.12.2015.

PER COURT :

1. Heard.

2. The present application is filed for quashing the FIR.

3. Mr.Salunke, learned counsel submits that the parties have settled the matter. The mother of the complainant has filed affidavit. The complainant is minor aged 17 years. The learned counsel submits that the complaint is filed due to misunderstanding.

4. We have perused the affidavit filed by the mother of the complainant. The complainant is also present before us. The complainant also accepts that the complaint is filed due to misunderstanding. The mother of the complainant accepts the contents of the affidavit. She is

identified by Mr.Wakure, advocate.

5. The applicant and the complainant are young boy and girl respectively. They are students. The families of the applicant and complainant know each other and treat each other as members of the family, as per affidavit filed and as stated by the complainant before us.

6. Considering the complaint, so also the affidavit filed before us, we accept the said settlement. The Crime No.754/2015 dated 13.10.2015, registered with Police Station, Mukundwadi, Auragnabad for an offence punishable U/s 354 of the I.P.C. And U/s 67-B(c) of the Information and Technology Act, 2000 is quashed and set aside.

7. The Criminal Application is disposed of.

(V.K.JADHAV, J.)

(S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.)

