

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2311 OF 2014
IN
FAST NO. 34927 OF 2013**

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

APPLICANTS

V E R S U S

Jaywantrao Govindrao Garud & Ors.

NON-APPLICANT

with

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2305 OF 2014
IN
FAST NO. 34940 OF 2013**

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

APPLICANTS

V E R S U S

Madhukar Bhikaji Kalbhor & Ors.

NON-APPLICANT

with

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2307 OF 2014
IN
FAST NO. 34946 OF 2013**

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

APPLICANTS

V E R S U S

Rohidas Manjabapu Kalbhor

NON-APPLICANT

with

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2309 OF 2014
IN
FAST NO. 34943 OF 2013**

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

APPLICANTS

V E R S U S

Tukaram Waman Kalbhor

NON-APPLICANT

Smt. S.S. Raut, A.G.P. for the Appellants/State

Mr. Manoj Daund, Advocate, holding for

Mr. C.K. Shinde, Advocate for Non-Applicant nos.1 & 3

CORAM : A.M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 26th NOVEMBER, 2015

PER COURT :

1. These are applications for condonation of delay in filing an appeal challenging the Award of the learned Reference Court enhancing the compensation. These applications are filed by the State.

2. Heard Smt. S.S. Raut, learned A.G.P. appearing for the Applicant/State and Mr. Manoj Daund, learned counsel holding for counsel Mr. C.K. Shinde for Non-Applicant nos.1 and 3 in all these matters. He undertakes to file Vakalatnama on behalf of Non-Applicants in all these matters.

3. According to the learned A.G.P., the delay occasioned was *bonafide* and due to procedural formalities. Shri Manoj Daund, learned counsel for the Respondents submitted that the delay is not properly explained, and therefore, the applications deserve to be rejected.

4. The averments in the applications are not countered by filing affidavit. Perusal of the applications reflect that sufficient cause is made out by the Applicants for condonation of delay. Hence, all applications for condonation of delay are allowed.

(A.M. BADAR)
JUDGE