

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

907 WRIT PETITION NO. 11485 OF 2015

BHARAT JAGNNATH JADHAV
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. VD Salunke, Adv. h/for Mr. More
Kailas U

Mr. KS Patil, AGP for Respondent No.1;
Mr. Rajput Deepak K., Adv. For R/2
Mr. SB Deshpande, Adv. For R.3 & 4

...

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & P.R. BORA, JJ.

Dated: December 16, 2015

...

PER COURT :-

1) Heard. After filing affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent Nos. 3 and 4, the petitioner has filed an affidavit in rejoinder. When we read the affidavit in rejoinder and perused the documents filed by the petitioner along with the said rejoinder, it is apparently revealed that the facts as mentioned in the petition are contrary to the facts as have been mentioned in the affidavit in rejoinder and the documents annexed thereto. It is revealed that in the on-line form stated to have been filled in, to be submitted to Respondent No.4-School, the petitioner has given the address of Jyotinagar; whereas in the petition, the same is stated to be of Bansilalnagar. In the said on-line form, father and mother of the child are shown to be illiterate, which is also factually incorrect. Further, in the petition,

BDV

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

it is the case of the petitioner that he was seeking admission for his child for 1st std.; whereas as per the information filled in in the on-line form, the admission is asked for the play-group (4 years before 1st std.).

2) For want of true and correct disclosure of the facts by the petitioner, we decline to exercise our discretion and hence reject the petition.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(S.S. SHINDE, J.)

...