

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 6182 OF 2015

NARAYAN S/O NAGORAO KULKARNI AND ANOTHER.
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr G K Thigale (Naik)
APP for Respondents: Mr A G Magre

...
CORAM : S V GANGAPURWALA & V.K. JADHAV, JJ.
Dated: November 23, 2015

...
PER COURT :-

1. Mr. Thigle, learned counsel for the applicants submit that this Court vide order dated 29.11.2013 in Criminal Application No.4318/2013 had directed the learned Judge dealing with RCC No.99/1996 to 106/1996 to dispose of the same expeditiously, however, the learned Judge has misinterpreted the order dated 25.6.2014 and 12.4.2013 passed in Criminal Writ Petition No.225/2013. The proceedings are stayed vide order dated 12.4.2013 in Criminal Writ Petition No.225/2013 qua the applicants therein.

2. We have heard the learned APP.

3. The order directing stay of the proceedings in Criminal Writ Petition No.225/2013 is qua the applicants therein. There is no impediment for the court to proceed with the proceedings against the other accused/parties and the same will have to be decided in view of the order of the Division Bench of this Court dated 29.11.2013 in Criminal Application No.4318/2013 wherein this

Court had directed the learned judge to dispose of RCC No.99/1996 to 106/1996 expeditiously. The order dated 12.4.2013 in Criminal Writ Petition No.225/2013 regarding stay of proceedings is to be read only with regard to the applicants/petitioners in Writ Petition No.225/2013. With these clarifications Criminal Application is disposed of.

4. The learned Judge shall abide by the order of the Division Bench directing it to decide the proceedings expeditiously scrupulously.

(V.K. JADHAV, J.)

(S V GANGAPURWALA, J.)

...

aaa/-