

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

40 WRIT PETITION NO. 744 OF 2015

MAHARASHTRA RAJYA KASGI PRATHAMIK SHIKSHAK SEVAK  
SAMITI, AURANGABAD AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...  
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. A.D. Pawar  
AGP for Respondents: Mr. K G Patil  
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. D.N. Bankar Patil

...  
CORAM : S V GANGAPURWALA & V.K. JADHAV, JJ.  
Dated: June 18, 2015

...  
PER COURT :-

1. Considering the reply filed and fact that the provisions of the statute nor any of the Government Resolution is assailed, the petition would be too premature to be considered.
2. The petitioner can raise all these issues in case some adverse orders are passed against the petitioners, its members or some act is done against them prejudicial to their interest.
3. Writ petition accordingly disposed of with aforesaid observations.

( V.K. JADHAV, J. )

( S V GANGAPURWALA, J. )

...