

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 6377 OF 2014
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3204 OF 2008
(Jayprakash Madanlal Borwal Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and others)
AND
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 6378 OF 2014
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3325 OF 2008
(Shri Pundlik Vitthal Wani Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and others)

Mr. V.C. Solshe, Advocate for the applicants
in both the applications.
Mr. S.G. Sangle, A.P.P. for the respondent/State.
Mr. M.H. Patil, Advocate for respondent No.3
in both the applications.

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.
DATE : 07/01/2015

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard.
2. A clerical mistake appears to have occurred as regards the appearance of the counsel in the order dated 10th December, 2014. In the said order, appearance of Mr. M.H. Patil is shown as Advocate for the complainant. In fact, he had appeared for the respondent No. 3 —

Credit Society in both the applications.

3. Accordingly, in the order dated 10th December, 2014, appearance of learned counsel Mr. M.H. Patil be shown for respondent No. 3 Credit Society. Necessary corrections be carried out. The motion for speaking to minutes stands disposed of.

[M.T. JOSHI]
JUDGE

npj/criapln6377-6378-14