

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11045 OF 2014

Seema Prakash Surya

.. Petitioner

Versus

The Superintending Engineer,
Maharashtra State Electricity

Distribution Co. Nanded and another .. Respondents

Shri Ganesh V. Mohekar, Advocate h/f Shri Ashish B. Shinde,
Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri D. P. Palodkar, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. L ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 05TH FEBRUARY, 2015.

PER COURT :

. Mr. Mohekar, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, as on today the petitioner has crossed 45 years of age, as such is not being considered by respondents for appointment on compassionate ground. However, the son of the petitioner can be considered for appointment on compassionate ground after completing 10th standard.

2. Mr. Palodkar, the learned counsel submits that, the petitioner today is receiving monthly monetary benefit scheme. If, son of the petitioner is to be appointed on compassionate

ground, then the petitioner would not be entitled for the benefit of monthly monetary scheme.

3. Mr. Mohekar, the learned counsel submits that, for the post of helper 10th standard is sufficient qualification. According to Mr. Palodkar, the learned counsel, the son of the petitioner has to pass I.T.I. and it is the respondent who would bear the expenses of that course and later on can be recovered from the salary that would be paid.

4. The present petition in fact is limited for giving appointment to the petitioner on compassionate ground. As the petitioner has crossed the age limit, the prayer of the petitioner cannot be considered. The respondent No. 2 has shown its willingness to consider the case of the son of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate ground provided eligibility criteria is fulfilled. There is no dispute that qualification will be required for appointment. The petitioner may take such steps as is permissible for seeking appointment of her son on compassionate ground, which would be considered by respondents in accordance with law. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[V. L. ACHLIYA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]