

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6318/2014

Lilabai w/o Ramdas Patil.
...Applicant..

Versus

The State of Maharashtra.
...Respondent...

.....

Shri Abhijit Namde, Advocate for applicant.
Shri S.G. Sangle, APP for respondent.

.....

CORAM: M.T. JOSHI, J.

DATE: 05.03.2015

ORDER :

1] Heard both the sides.

2] Present applicant, who is arrested by Pachora Police Station Dist.Jalgaon, in Crime No.166/2013 for the offences punishable u/ss.302, 498-A, 504 and 506 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code, is praying for her release on bail.

3] The allegations would show that the present applicant i.e. mother in law along with her husband i.e. father in law of the deceased murdered her by setting her

- 2 -

on fire as the deceased was unable to bring money from her parents. Present applicant has earlier filed bail application along with her husband vide Criminal Application No.2922/2014, the same was decided by this Court on 15.7.2014. The reading of the said order would show that the husband of the present applicant i.e. co-accused was released on bail as he was very old and was suffering from serious illness. The application of the present applicant was allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file similar application in case the trial is not concluded within six months.

4] The report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge received by this Court would show that the matter would be heard expeditiously and the same would be concluded within a period of six months.

5] The learned counsel for the applicant makes several submissions including that there are certain variances in the dying declaration and the same are vague. The matter is already considered on merits. Now as the learned Sessions Judge has reported that the trial would be concluded within a period of six months, finding that there is a strong prima facie case against the present

- 3 -

applicant, she cannot be released on bail. However, the applicant being under-trial prisoner, the learned Sessions Judge is directed to expedite the hearing of the case and take efforts for conclusion of the same within a period of six months as reported by him. The Registry to communicate the order to the concerned Court accordingly. The criminal application is accordingly rejected.

(M.T. JOSHI, J.)