

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 324 OF 2015

Darasing Limbaji Rathod .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri D. G. Nagode, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S. R. Palnitkar, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. L. ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 13TH JANUARY, 2015.

PER COURT :

. Mr. Nagode, the learned counsel submits that, on 03.10.2012 the petitioner has given representation to the respondent No. 1 for appointment being project affected person. The said representation is not considered.

2. Mr. Palnitkar, the learned A. G. P. submits that, in view of the judgment of the Full Bench of this Court, the petitioner has to compete.

3. As the representation is pending with the respondent No. 1, we are not dilating on the merits of the matter.

4. We dispose of the writ petition by directing the respondent No. 1 to decide the application/representation of the petitioner (Exhibit - J) on its own merits as per the policy and law expeditiously and preferably within a period of six (6) months from today. No costs.

[V. L ACHLIYA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Jan. 15