

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

950 WRIT PETITION NO. 10395 OF 2015

MANOJ SHANTILAL SURYAWANSHI AND ANOTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. KS Bhore, Adv. h/for Mr.
Waramaa B.R.

Mr. SS Agrawal, Adv. for Resp.Nos. 5 & 6.

...

CORAM : R. M. BORDE & P.R. BORA, JJ.

Dated: October 16, 2015

...

PER COURT :-

1) Heard the learned Counsel for petitioners and perused the communication issued by the Collector, directing extension of the time for deposit of the earnest money with a view to facilitate the prospective bidders to participate in the auction proceeding. In our view, no prejudice will be caused to the petitioners. This Court, in exercise of equitable jurisdiction, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, need not cause to interfere in the matter. The writ petition is devoid of substance and hence rejected.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)

...