

kps

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9312 OF 2013

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
SARVA SHRAMIK SANGHATNA, BEED

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Shri Patil Umakant K., Special Counsel a/w
Mr.U.H.Bhogle, AGP.

Advocate for Respondents : Shri P.L. Shahane.

...

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE :- 25th August, 2015

Per Court:

- 1 It was noted by this Court on 04.08.2015 as under:-
 - “1 Pursuant to the order dated 09.06.2015 passed by this Court, Shri Umakant Patil, learned Advocate, submits that a meeting was held on 17.07.2015 under the chairmanship of the Divisional Commissioner, Nagpur to scrutinize all the proposals in the said region. He, therefore, seeks time to make a statement on the next date as regards, whether, any decision has been arrived at and whether, the proposals have been decided or not?
 - 2 Stand over to 25.08.2015 to enable the Petitioner to make a statement. Interim relief granted earlier to continue.”
- 2 Shri Patil, learned Special Counsel appearing on behalf of the

Petitioners, places on record a communication dated 25.08.2015 along with a copy of the communication dated 24.08.2015. He submits that the first communication is by the Chief Conservator of Forest, Aurangabad Region and the second communication is by the Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, State of Maharashtra.

3 The above two communications are taken on record and marked as “Exhibit X Collectively” for identification.

4 Based on these two communications, he indicates that the Committee formed for taking a decision with regard to 07 daily-wage employees, has resolved to grant regularization with incidental and consequential benefits from 01.06.2012, vide its decision dated 17.07.2015. It has been concluded that these 07 employees have fulfilled the conditions required under the Government Resolution dated 16.10.2012.

5 Shri Shahane, learned Advocate, on instructions from the Respondents' representative present in the Court, submits that their grievance stands redressed in the light of Exhibit X.

6 As such, this Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of Exhibit X.

7 Needless to state, the decision of the Government in tune with Exhibit X be communicated to the Respondents at the earliest.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)