

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4588 OF 2014

Sangita Bahiru Repale

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Shri N. C. Garud, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri K. G. Patil, Addl.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri S. T. Shelke, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. K. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 15TH JUNE, 2015.

PER COURT :

. Mr. Shelke, the learned counsel for the respondent No. 2/Child Welfare Officer raises a preliminary objection that, the petitioner has remedy of appeal challenging the appointment of the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 as Anganwadi Madatnis as per Government Resolution dated 05th August, 2010.

2. In the light of that, though Mr. Garud, the learned counsel for the petitioner sought to canvass submissions on merits of the matter, we are not inclined to consider the same.

3. The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the

petitioner to avail alternate remedy. All contentions are kept open. The authority where the appeal would be filed, shall consider the time spent in prosecuting the present writ petition. No costs.

[V. K. JADHAV, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/June 15