

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2149 OF 2013

Smt. Mahajabeen Fatema D/o
Abdul Rahman @ Smt. Mahajabeen Fatema W/o
Prof. Dr. Sk. Abdul Hafeez .. **Petitioner**

Versus

Anjuman Ishaat-E-Taleem Trust,
Aurangabad and others .. **Respondents**

Mr Ajay S. Deshpande, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr S. S. Kazi, Advocate for respondent No. 1
Mr Gundre, Advocate for respondent No. 2

ALONG WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 222 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 2149 OF 2013**

**CORAM : A.V. NIRGUDE &
V. K. JADHAV, JJ.
DATED : JANUARY 12TH, 2015.**

PER COURT:

1. After hearing submissions at bar, learned Counsel for respondent No. 1 – Trust, conceded that the enquiry Committee could not have been convened by respondent No. 2, against whom serious allegations were made by the petitioner before commencement of the enquiry. Mr Kazi stated that

respondent No. 1 – Society would reconstitute the Committee in which members would not have bias against the petitioner. Mr Kazi also informed that Mr L. G. Kale has left the Committee and he is replaced by one Mr Shah Hasan Razzaq, who is an awardee teacher.

2. In view of the statement made by Mr Kazi, learned Counsel for respondent No. 1 - Trust, the petitioner's grievance is almost mitigated. The enquiry against the petitioner shall start *de novo and* petitioner should cooperate with the same.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

4. In view of disposal of writ petition, connected Civil Application does not survive and stands disposed of.

[V. K. JADHAV, J.]

[A.V. NIRGUDE, J.]