IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 182 OF 2010
WITH
CA/2268/2013 IN CRA/182/2010

BANUBAI VANABAI DAYARAM PACHPANDE AND ORS.
VERSUS
KASHINATH MULIDHAR PATIL L.RS. KAUSHALYA KASHINATH PATIL AND ORS.

--

None for applicants

Mr.Girish Rane, advocate for respondent no.1-D

--

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.
DATE : MARCH 26, 2015

PER COURT :

None present for the applicants.

2] Mr.Rane, learned counsel for respondent no.1D submits that in fact, present revision application has become infructuous due to dismissal of the Second Appeal itself.

3] In view of absence of learned counsel for the revision applicant for last two dates, without

going into the statement of Mr.Rane, the revision application is dismissed for want of prosecution, without any order as to costs.

4] In view of dismissal of revision application, Civil Application does not survive and stands disposed of.

[M.T. JOSHI, J.]

kbp