

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.9320 OF 2013
(M/s Baxter (India) Pvt.Ltd., Vs. Mr.Kautik Gaikwad and another)
WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.9409 OF 2013
(M/s Baxter (India) Pvt.Ltd., Vs. Mr.Atul Gundre and another)

Mr.S.V.Dankh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Y.I.Thole, Advocate for respondent No.1.

(CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)
DATE : 23/09/2015

PER COURT :

1. Mr.Dankh, learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner/Management in both these petitions submits on instructions that on account of the subsequent events, the petitioner does not desire to prosecute these petitions. The same may, therefore, be permitted to be withdrawn.

2. Mr.Thole, learned Advocate, appearing on behalf of the respondents has no objection.

3. In the light of the statement made on instructions, both these petitions are disposed of, as withdrawn.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)