

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY BENCH  
AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 8822 OF 2014**

Shri Datta Shikshan Prasarak Mandal,  
Nanded .. Petitioner

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Shrikrashna B. Solanke, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Smt. V. A. Shinde, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

Shri A. B. Dhongade, Advocate for the Respondent No. 5.

Shri S. K. Chavan, Advocate for the Respondent No. 7.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND  
V. K. JADHAV, JJ.**

**DATE : 07TH JULY, 2015.**

**PER COURT :**

. We have heard the learned counsel for respective parties. The learned A. G. P. states that, petitioner's proposal has been recommended. However, the proposal of respondent Nos. 5 and 6 (7) is not recommended.

2. In the light of the above, the purpose of the petitioner in filing the writ petition stands served. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of. No costs.

[ V. K. JADHAV, J. ]

[ S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J. ]