

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

905 WRIT PETITION NO. 8995 OF 2015

KAVITA NARAYAN SOLUNKE AND ANOTHERS
VERSUS
THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER AURANGABAD AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. RN Dhorde, Sr.Counsel h/for
Mr. Dighe Pravin S
Mr.AM Karad, Adv. for Resp.No.1;
Mr.AS Bajaj, Adv. for Resp.No.2;
Mr.SG Karlekar,AGP for Resp.No.3.

...

CORAM : R. M. BORDE & P.R. BORA, JJ.
Dated: September 03, 2015

...

PER COURT :-

1) Heard. We do not propose to go into the factual controversy raised before us. However, we deem it appropriate to relegate the petitioners to Assistant Director of Town Planning, who is present before the Court. The Memorandum of petition shall be considered as a representation of the petitioners and the concerned authority, after extending an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, may take appropriate steps in accordance with the provisions of law.

2) The learned Counsel appearing for the Municipal Corporation, on instructions, informs that the hearing would be extended to the petitioner on Tuesday, i.e. 8th September, 2015. The petitioners shall remain present before the concerned officer at 4 O' clock on the given date.

3) In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)

...