

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9130 OF 2015

Shilpa Subhash Badhe

... Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

.....
Mr Ajay G. Talhar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr V. H. Dighe, AGP for respondent/State
.....

CORAM : **S. S. SHINDE &
M. T. JOSHI, JJ.**

DATE : **10th SEPTEMBER, 2015.**

PER COURT:

. Heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties. Perused the pleadings and the grounds raised in the petition and annexures thereto.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner invited our attention to Government Resolution dt. 19th July, 2011 issued by the Finance Department, Government of Maharashtra, Mantralaya, Mumbai. It is spelt out in the said Govt. Resolution that, if the appointment of the teachers or the employees working in 100% aided school, is prior to 1.11.2005, then the scheme of Provident Fund is applicable to them. It

is not pleaded in the petition that even the petitioner's appointment was prior to 1.11.2005 in a school, which is receiving 100% grant-in-aid.

3. In that view of the matter, we do not see any reason to entertain the petition. Petition is, therefore, rejected.

[M. T. JOSHI, J.]

[S. S. SHINDE, J.]

sgp