

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9164 OF 2015

DILIP VITHALRAO MANJARME
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9173 OF 2015

MADHAV GANGADHAR METEWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Vitthal G. Salgare.
AGPs for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Mr. S. Y. Mahajan/ Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar.

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & A.M. BADAR,JJ.
DATE : 16th October,2015.

PER COURT:

1] Heard counsel for the petitioner, learned AGP for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 and 4. It is the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner that respondent No.4 has submitted a proposal to the respondent No.2 seeking approval to the service of the petitioner. However, though the said proposal is submitted on 25.11.2013, no decision is taken by the respondent No.2.

2] We direct the respondent No.2 to take decision on the said proposal as expeditiously as possible, however, within 8 weeks from today, in accordance with relevant rules and communicate the said decision to the petitioner and also respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

3] Writ Petitions stand disposed of.

[A.M. BADAR, J]

[S.S. SHINDE,J]

grt/-