

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.80 OF 2014

(Arvind s/o Pundalik Dhamne & ors. Vs. Smt. Mathurabai w/o Dwarkaprasad Dube & ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Shri L.D. Vakil, Advocate for respondents No.3 and 5

.....

CORAM : A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.

DATED : 4th March, 2015.

1. Counsel for appellants is not present. Counsel for respondents No.3 and 5 is present. Respondent No.4 is yet not served. Perused earlier order dated 3.12.2014, 4.2.2015 as well as 25.2.2015. Appellant has not taken suitable steps to serve respondent No.4. On 25.2.2015, appellant was directed to supply fresh address of respondent No.4 within a week. Even that is not done. Even today the counsel for appellant is not present. The matter was earlier kept back. Even on second call nobody appears. As such, the Appeal from Order is dismissed in default.

(A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.)