

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8089 OF 2014

Navnath Kailas Bachate .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Sanjaykumar B. Bhosale, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri A. S. Shinde, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Shri M. C. Swami, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 8135 OF 2014

Rajendra Kishan Sutar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Sanjaykumar B. Bhosale, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri A. S. Shinde, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Shri K. J. Ghute Patil, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. L. ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 03RD MARCH, 2015.

PER COURT :

. We have heard the learned counsel for respective parties.

The learned counsel for respective parties agree that, the

petitioners are similarly situated as the petitioners in Writ Petition No. 8008 of 2014 and other connected writ petitions, which we have disposed of vide order dated 02nd December, 2014.

2. In the light of that, in the present matters also we pass the same order.

5. The petitioners shall join at the places they are directed to be absorbed vide order dated 07.09.2014 and start discharging their duties. Subsequently, if the posts and the places at which these petitioners were directed to be absorbed vide order dated 28.08.2014 are sanctioned, the respondents shall accommodate each of the petitioner on the said posts, which they were directed to be absorbed vide order dated 28.08.2014. With these observations and directions, writ petitions stand disposed of. Considering the fact that order of status quo was in force, the respondents shall not deprive the petitioners of their salary for the interregnum period.

[V. L ACHLIYA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]