

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9092 OF 2014
IN SA/66/2010

SHRIRAM APPARAO GITE
VERSUS
RAGHUNATH APPARAO GITE DIED LRS AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Shri Munde H.K.
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Shri Babhulgaonkar K.M.
Advocate for L.Rs. of Respondent 6 : Shri Chapalgaonkar S.S.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: April 27, 2015
...

PER COURT :-

1. Respondent No.2 has passed away. Appeal stands abated to his extent.
2. This application suffers from delay of (90) days. Shri Munde, learned Advocate submits that the reasons for the delay are properly explained in this application. Delay is neither inordinate nor deliberate.
3. Shri Babhulgaonkar, learned Advocate appearing on behalf of all the L.Rs. of deceased respondent No.2, opposes the application on the ground that the reasons cited are not satisfactory.
4. Taking into account the circumstances as noted above, this Civil Application is allowed. Delay stands condoned, subject to applicant's depositing costs of Rs. 500/-, on/or before 20.6.2015, with the Advocate Association's Bar Library, High Court, Bench at Aurangabad, as graciously submitted by the learned Advocate for the applicants. After depositing the costs, the L.Rs. of respondent No.2 be brought on record within two weeks therefrom.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9092 OF 2014

5. Needless to state, in the event of non-compliance, this order shall stand recalled and the Civil Application shall stand rejected.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...