

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4443 OF 2015

Ramdas @ Ramkishan s/o Nagnath
Hulgunde

..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

Mr S.P. Joshi, Advocate for applicant
Mr A.P. Basarkar, A.P.P. for respondent

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 13th October 2015

PER COURT

1. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is entitled for bail in Crime No.95/2015 registered on 22nd May 2015 at Ambajogai City Police Station, Ambajogai, District Beed for offences punishable under Sections 323, 376, 452, 506 of Indian Penal Code and under Section 3 (2) (v) and 3 (1) (xii) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

2. According to learned Counsel for the applicants, the story of the prosecution is not probable and imaginary. So as to substantiate his contention he has invited attention of this Court to the contents of the first information report and other material placed on record, as the investigation is complete and the charge-sheet is already filed. According to him, the applicant was arrested on 22nd May 2015 and his custody is no more required and as such prayed for bail.

3. While opposing the application for grant of bail, learned A.P.P. would urge that there is prima facie evidence of involvement of the applicant in commission of crime in question.

4. The other evidence is also pressed into service for the purpose of opposing the bail application.

5. Having considered the submissions made by respective parties, it is noticed that the medical evidence, coupled with the accusations against the present applicant prima facie depict the involvement of the applicant in commission of the crime in question. There is also direct evidence available against the present applicant.

6. In view of above referred background no case for grant of bail is made out. The application fails, stands rejected.

(N.W. SAMBRE, J.)

vvr